Hydrolytic Studies on (η^5 -C₅Me₅)TiMe₃; X-Ray Structure of [(η^5 -C₅Me₅)TiMe(μ -O)]₃ containing a Ti₃O₃ Ring

Severino García Blanco,^b M. Pilar Gómez Sal,^a Sagrario Martínez Carreras,^b Miguel Mena,^a Pascual Royo,^a and Ricardo Serrano^a

^a Departamento de Química Inorgánica, Universidad de Alcalá de Henares, Madrid, Spain

^b Departamento de Rayos X, C.S.I.C. Madrid, Spain

Successive hydrolysis of (η^5 -C₅Me₅)TiMe₃ (2) gives first [(η^5 -C₅Me₅)TiMe₂]₂(μ -O) (3) and then [(η^5 -C₅Me₅)TiMe (μ -O)]₃ (4); the crystal structure of (4) reveals a Ti₃O₃ ring.

Mono- and di-cyclopentadienyl titanium halides are known to hydrolyse their Ti–Cl bonds, and in the dicyclopentadienyl case one of the cyclopentadienyl (cp) rings is sometimes lost, with subsequent formation of dimeric¹ and tetrameric² complexes which have been structurally characterized. We have recently isolated and characterized several very reactive mono-pentamethylcyclopentadienyl titanium trialkyls and α -hydrogen agostic interactions have been proposed for (η^5 -C₅Me₅) Ti(CH₂Ph)₃ in the light of the X-ray crystallographic data.³ The methyl derivative is cleanly obtained according to equation (1).

$$\begin{array}{c} (\eta^5 - C_5 Me_5) \text{TiCl}_3 + 3\text{LiMe} \rightarrow 3\text{LiCl} + (\eta^5 - C_5 Me_5) \text{TiMe}_3 \quad (1) \\ (1) \quad (2) \end{array}$$

Controlled hydrolysis of benzene or hexane solutions of (2) leads to the formation of the dimer $(3)^{\dagger}$ with evolution of methane, equation (2).

$$2(\eta^{5}-C_{5}Me_{5})TiMe_{3} + H_{2}O \rightarrow [(\eta^{5}-C_{5}Me_{5})TiMe_{2}]_{2}(\mu-O)$$
(2)
(3)
+2CH₄
(2)

When one equivalent, or a slight excess, of water is added to (2) a different yellow crystalline product (4) \ddagger is obtained; its ¹H n.m.r. spectrum shows one C₅Me₅ signal and two other



^{† (3):} Yellow crystals: i.r. v (Ti-O-Ti) 800 (vs, br.) cm⁻¹; ¹H n.m.r. $(C_6D_6) \delta 1.91 (s,30H,C_5Me_5)$ and 0.66 (s,12H,TiMe); ¹³C {¹H} n.m.r. $(C_6D_6) 122.09 (s,C_5Me_5)$, 52.35 (s,TiMe), and 11.86 (s,C_5Me_5) ; satisfactory analytical data were obtained.

signals at δ 0.79 and 0.55 corresponding to methyl groups bonded to Ti, with relative intensities 15:2:1. The ¹³C {¹H} n.m.r. spectrum demonstrates nevertheless that there are non-equivalent C₅Me₅ groups in an approximate ratio of 2:1 as judged by the relative intensity of the ring and methyl carbon signals (in spite of the unreliable integration for $^{13}C{^{1}H}$ signals; see Figure 1). This suggested a trimeric structure containing two geometrically different types of methyl and cyclopentadienyl groups, which the X-ray analysis confirmed. The molecular structure of (4),§ depicted in Figure 2, shows a 'titanyl' trimer $[(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)Ti(O)Me]_3$ in which three $(\eta^5 - C_5 Me_5)$ TiMe units are linked through three oxygen bridges forming a Ti₃O₃ ring. The Ti–O distances range from 1.816(6) to 1.830(6) Å with a mean value of 1.823 Å which is considerably shorter than the typical Ti-O single bond distances of ca. 2.0 Å,^{2b} thus indicating partial double bond



Figure 2. Molecular structure of $[(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)TiMe(\mu-O)]_3$: selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): Ti(1)–O(1) 1.827(6), Ti(1)–O(2) 1.816(6), Ti(2)–O(2) 1.824(6), Ti(2)–O(3) 1.817(6), Ti(3)–O(3) 1.827(5), Ti(3)–O(1) 1.830(6); O(1)–Ti(1)–O(2) 105.2(2), Ti(1)– O(2)–Ti(2) 133.6(3), O(2)–Ti(2)–O(3) 106.0(2), Ti(2)–O(3)–Ti(3) 133.5(3), O(3)–Ti(3)–O(1) 104.8(2), Ti(3)–O(1)–Ti(1) 131.6(3).

§ Crystal data: $C_{33}H_{54}O_3Ti_3$, M = 642.48, monoclinic, space group $P2_1/a$, a = 23.062(1), b = 8.9449(2), c = 16.957(1) Å, $\beta = 90.91(1)^\circ$, U = 3497.6(3) Å³, Z = 4, $D_c = 1.22$ g cm⁻³, F(000) = 1368, $\mu(Cu-K_{\alpha}) = 59.46$ cm⁻¹. Data were recorded on a Phillips 1100 4-circle diffractometer using graphite monochromated Cu- K_{α} radiation; θ range 2–65°; 3491 unique observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ absorption corrected reflections, R = 0.089, R = 0.096.

Atomic co-ordinates, bond lengths and angles, and thermal parameters have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. See Notice to Authors, Issue No. 1, 1986.

 $[\]ddagger$ (4): i.r. v (Ti–O–Ti) 790 (vs) cm⁻¹; ¹H n.m.r. (C₆D₆) δ 1.97 (s,45H, C₅Me₅), 0.79 (s,6H,Ti-Me), and 0.55 (s,3H,Ti-Me); ¹³C {¹H} n.m.r. (CDCl₃) 121.57 (s,C₅Me₅), 121.28 (s,C₅Me₅), 43.61(s,Ti-Me), 40.17 (s,Ti-Me), 11.48 (s,C₅Me₅), and 11.27 (s,C₅Me₅); satisfactory analytical data were obtained.

character. The O–Ti–O angles are between 104.8(2) and 106.0(2)°, in the same range as reported for the tetramers.² The Ti–O–Ti angles vary from 131.6(3) to 133.5(3)° and are the most acute yet observed. The Ti₃O₃ ring is nearly planar with the exception of O(1) which deviates 0.332(6) Å from the plane defined by the other five atoms. Two of the Me groups bonded to Ti and one C₅Me₅ ring are situated above the Ti₃O₃ ring and the other Me group and two C₅H₅ rings below, thus explaining the results from the n.m.r. spectra.

Compound (4) is the first example of a Ti_3O_3 ring; to our knowledge, only two related cases of M_3O_3 rings, incorporating the cp_2M moiety, have been structurally characterized to date.⁴

A sequential ${}^{1}H$ n.m.r. study of the hydrolysis of (2) shows that the transformations in equation (3) occur. Trimer (4) is

$$(\eta^{5}-C_{5}Me_{5})TiMe_{3} \frac{H_{2}O}{-CH_{4}} [(\eta^{5}-C_{5}Me_{5})TiMe_{2}]_{2}O \frac{H_{2}O}{-CH_{4}}$$
(2)
(3)
[(\eta^{5}-C_{5}Me_{5})TiMe(O)]_{3}
(3)
(4)

We gratefully acknowledge the CAICYT (Spain) for financial support.

Received, 30th May 1986; Com. 731

References

- P. Corradini and G. Allegra, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1959, 80, 5510; U. Thewalt and D. Schomburg, J. Organomet. Chem., 1977, 127, 169; U. Thewalt and B. Kebbel; *ibid.*, 1978, 150, 59.
- 2 A. C. Skapski and P. G. H. Troughton, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B, 1970, 26, 716; J. L. Petersen, Inorg. Chem., 1980, 19, 181.
- 3 M. Mena, M. A. Pellinghelli, P. Royo, R. Serrano, and A. Tiripicchio, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun., 1986, 1118.
- 4 G. Fachinetti, C. Floriani, A. Chiesi-Villa, and C. Guastini, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1979, 101, 1765; B. Statte, V. Bätzel, R. Boese, and G. Schmid, Chem. Ber., 1978, 111, 1603.